## The work-integrated learning (WIL) context

Synopsis of the DoE <sup>1</sup> & HEQC <sup>2 &amp;</sup> imperatives:	Unisa's ODL Policy:	Extracts from Unisa's WIL <sup>4</sup> Policy:	WIL overall process illustrated:	Responsi- bility
Inclusivity <sup>5</sup> regarding <u>curriculum design</u> and development, taking into consideration national and regional <u>needs of stakeholders</u>	(4.8.3) Include WIL as planned component for spec. outcomes	(5.1.1) Continuous consultation for each qualification, with adequate representation by vocational community and all stakeholders.	Networking for:     Curriculum design focussing on vocational community needs	Academics Stakeholders DCLD helps
An <u>obligation to place students</u> where work-integrated learning is part of the curriculum of the qualification.	(4.16.6) Regional offices will assist with placements of students for WIL.	(5.5.1) Unisa will make sufficient regional staff available to build partnerships with commerce, industry and governmental organisations to secure positions for students for WIL.	Relationship building & marketing of Unisa Advisory mechanisms for continued relevance  WIL learning materials for course/subject: Orientation and facilitation of learning by experience Guidelines for workplace mentoring Documenting of evidence and specifications of what to submit for summative assessment Monitoring guidelines for formative  Securing willing organisations to host Unisa students for prerequisite WIL Facilitate placements and contracting of the learning of students	Regional:  • RDs  • DDs:  LF&ICT  • Heads:FoL  DVC-VP: LS  &SA  ED: LS
Effective management and coordination, with responsibilities and lines of accountability clearly allocated.	(4.6.2-3) Prepare for entry into world of work.	(4) WIL section of TSDL; (3-5 <sup>th</sup> bullet) marketing as element of corporate branding; (5.2) DCLD		
Adequate infrastructure provided.	(4.16.1) Sufficient	help academics; (5.4) BCCAD life & employability skills, etc.		D: TSDL WIL section
Learning contracts or agreements, clarifying the objectives and outcomes of the learning process, as well as the roles and responsibilities of the institution, students, mentors and employers involved.	(4.4) Student placed in centre of entire learning process.	(5.7.1) Unisa will try to contract the WIL of individual students. These learning contracts will clarify the roles and responsibilities of the various parties, i.e. the institution, students, mentors and employers.		Regional staff as per academic guidelines  Academic staff via guidelines & accreditation
Mentoring that enables the student to recognise strengths and weaknesses; to develop existing and new abilities; and to gain knowledge of work practices.	(4.11.1) Diverse need of students.	(5.7.2) Unisa strives to appoint suitably qualified and experienced workplace mentors and training to meet this HEQC's imperative.		
Regular and effective communication between the various parties involved.	(4.11.5) Enhances communication	(5.1.2) Advisory structure and processes will ensure continued programme/qualification relevance.	Mentoring, follow-up with hosts, monitoring of progress of students and summative assessment	Academic staff & regions
Regular and systematic recording and monitoring of progress of the student's learning experience.	(4.1.5) Reflective practice	(5.8.1-4) Monitoring of progress and of attainment of outcomes by practitioners from occupational field doing <i>in situ</i> visits. Academics		Collaboration between academics
Academic as well as workplace based assessment.	(4.13)	give guidelines (5.2), select monitors & assessment resp.	Adapted diagram from p. 23 of International Handbook for Cooperative Education, Coll RK & Eames C, 2004.	(final resp.)& regional staff

Dr Thomas Groenewald — 18 March 2009

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The *Higher Education Qualifications Framework* (HEQF), Department of Education Government Notice No 928, gazetted (No. 30353) 5 October 2007 as policy in terms of the Higher Education Act, p. 9 <sup>2</sup> South Africa. (2004). Council for Higher Education, Higher Education Quality Committee. *Criteria for Programme Accreditation*. Pretoria. Electronically available from: <a href="http://www.che.ac.za/documents/d000084/CHE\_accreditation\_criteria\_Nov2004.pdf">http://www.che.ac.za/documents/d000084/CHE\_accreditation\_criteria\_Nov2004.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> South Africa. (2004).Council for Higher Education, Higher Education Quality Committee. *Criteria for Institutional* Audits. Pretoria: Compress. Electronically available from: <a href="http://www.che.ac.za/documents/d000061/CHE\_Institutional-Audit-Criteria\_June2004.pdf">http://www.che.ac.za/documents/d000061/CHE\_Institutional-Audit-Criteria\_June2004.pdf</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Approved 18 May 2005 by Senate and 29 July 2005 by Council <sup>5</sup> Nkomo, M. (2000). *The National Qualifications Framework and Curriculum Development*. Pretoria: South African Qualifications Authority. Electronically available from: http://www.saga.org.za/structure/ngf/docs/curriculum\_dev.pdf